

Mechanical Engineer interview questions

careertips.com.au: common questions and what they're really testing

What to expect

Mechanical engineering interviews mix technical depth with evidence that you can work through a design problem methodically and hold your own when things go wrong on the production line. Expect the panel to probe both your CAD/simulation skills and your judgement in ambiguous, time-pressured situations.

- **Process:** Walking the panel through how you take a design from concept to a validated, manufacturable part.
- **Technical:** Specific questions on FEA, CFD, material selection and tolerancing, often referencing tools like SolidWorks, CATIA or ANSYS.
- **Behavioural:** Past examples of working with cross-functional teams or managing a stakeholder disagreement.
- **Scenario:** Judgement questions about troubleshooting on the factory floor when a prototype or production run doesn't behave as expected.

Most panels open with a walk-through of your CAD and simulation experience, move into one or two scenario or troubleshooting questions to test judgement under pressure, then close with behavioural questions on teamwork and communication with non-engineering stakeholders.

1. Walk me through your design process from an initial concept to a CAD model you'd release for FEA validation.

Why they ask

This checks whether you understand the sequence of design decisions and where analysis fits, not just that you can operate CAD software.

How to structure your answer

Describe the process as a sequence of stages: requirements, concept sketches, CAD modelling, design review, then simulation. Note decision points and what would send the design back a stage.

Example answer

"I start by clarifying the functional and space requirements with the product team, then rough out two or three concept geometries in SolidWorks. Once a concept is agreed, I build a detailed CAD model with proper tolerancing, run it through an internal design review with manufacturing, and only then move to FEA to validate stress and deflection under the expected load cases. If the FEA flags a problem, it goes back to the CAD stage before anyone touches a prototype."

2. How do you decide when to use FEA versus CFD, and what do you do when a simulation result doesn't match physical test data?

Why they ask

Tests genuine technical understanding of the two analysis methods and how you handle discrepancies rather than trusting a simulation blindly.

How to structure your answer

Explain the technical distinction first, then describe a systematic approach to reconciling a mismatch: checking boundary conditions, mesh quality, and test setup before assuming either result is wrong.

Example answer

"FEA is my go-to for structural loading, stress and deflection, while CFD comes in when fluid or thermal behaviour is the concern, like flow through a housing or heat dissipation off a component. When results and test data disagree, I first check my boundary conditions and mesh refinement in the

simulation, then check whether the physical test rig actually replicated the load case I modelled. Usually the gap comes from an assumption in one or the other, not a fundamental error, but I don't close it out until I can explain the difference."

3. Tell me about a time you had to resolve a disagreement between engineering and manufacturing over a design decision.

Why they ask

Cross-functional collaboration is a core part of this role, and panels want evidence you can hold a technical position while still landing on a workable outcome.

How to structure your answer

Use STAR: situation, task, action, result, with a clear sense of what each side wanted and how you found the resolution.

Example answer

"On a bracket redesign, manufacturing wanted a simpler bend profile to cut fabrication cost, but the profile I'd specified was there to meet a fatigue requirement. I ran the numbers on the simpler profile and showed the fatigue life dropped below spec, then worked with manufacturing to find a slightly different bend radius that kept fatigue life within tolerance and was still straightforward to produce. We got a version signed off by both quality and manufacturing without another round of re-design."

4. A batch of prototypes fails an unexpected way on the factory floor and production is waiting on your call. What do you do?

Why they ask

This is a realistic scenario for the role and tests judgement under time pressure, not just technical knowledge.

How to structure your answer

Frame this as a judgement-under-pressure answer: what you'd check first, how you'd decide between a quick fix and a full stop, and how you'd communicate the decision.

Example answer

"First I'd isolate whether the failure is a design issue, a material or process variation, or a one-off assembly error, by looking at a couple of the failed units directly rather than relying on secondhand descriptions. If it looks isolated, I'd let production continue on the unaffected units while I investigate, but if there's any chance it's a design-level issue that could affect the whole batch, I'd pause that line and flag it to the production supervisor immediately. I'd rather explain a short delay than let a systemic fault reach a customer."

5. How do you approach material and tolerance selection when you're balancing cost, strength and manufacturability?

Why they ask

Material and tolerance decisions sit at the centre of this role's day-to-day work and reveal whether you think about trade-offs realistically.

How to structure your answer

Answer as a structured trade-off explanation: the factors you weigh, in what order, and an example of a decision you made.

Example answer

"I start from the functional requirement, usually load or fatigue life, and work out the minimum material property that satisfies it. From there I look at what's readily available and machinable within the required tolerance, because a material that meets spec but needs a slow, expensive process isn't a good outcome. On one component I moved from a tighter tolerance aluminium grade to a slightly looser tolerance on a more common grade once I confirmed the wider tolerance still met the fatigue

requirement, which cut machining time without compromising performance.”

6. How do you explain a technical design constraint to a stakeholder who isn't an engineer?

Why they ask

Written and verbal communication with product, quality and management stakeholders is a routine part of the role, and panels want to see you can adjust your language without losing accuracy.

How to structure your answer

Describe your approach to translating technical detail for a non-technical audience, then give a concrete example.

Example answer

“I focus on the consequence rather than the mechanism. Instead of describing stress concentration factors, I'll say a particular corner of the part is more likely to crack under repeated use, and explain what that means for warranty or safety. On one project I used a simple annotated photo of the failure point rather than the FEA plot to get the point across to the product manager, which made the conversation about trade-offs much faster.”